| Revenue Carry Forward Schedule Appendix |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services | Description | Council Funding | Ring Fenced Grant Funding | Total | Reason for Carry Forward \& Consequences of not carrying forward. |
|  |  | £000 | £000 | £000 |  |
| Resources and Commercial Directorate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Director of Resources | Land Charges | 250 |  | 250 | This funding is intended to part cover the pressures resulting from pension liability relating to transfer of legal personnel from Buckinghamshire CC. If the funds are not carried forward the pressure would have to be met centrally. |
| Strategic Commissioning | Health Watch contract |  | 25 | $25$ | Grant funding to cover statutory contract commitment with a local voluntary sector organisation - Enterprise Wellness for the provision of the Healthwatch Harrow Service. Funding ring-fenced, if the project is not delivered there is a reputational risk to the Council. |
| Strategic Commissioning | Edward Harvist Trust Grant |  | 15 |  | This grant funding to be distributed to the voluntary organisation projects which are carried out for the good of the local community. The grant is ring-fenced and the funding needs to be available to enable distribution as and when required outside of the financial year cycle. If not carried forward the projects will not be delivered posing reputational risk to the Council. |
| Strategic Commissioning | One Public Estate Grant |  | 20 |  | Grant income received in the latter part of the year to be spent on the community as per grant recommendation. If not carried forward the projects will not be delivered posing reputational risk to the Council. |
| Human Resources | Members training | 5 |  | There was a saving taken on the Member Development budget in 2016, which in effect meant that the budget was reduced by $75 \%$. The rationale for this was that the major use of the budget was every four years after each Local Election. Therefore in taking the saving, the expectation was to roll this budget forward each year to create a pot which every four years could be used to fund the new intake of Members. This carry forward is to facilitate this in order to give a circa $£ 18 \mathrm{k}$ budget every four years. If the funds are not carried forward there will be a budget overspend in the year 5 of local elections. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Resources and Commercial | Total 2017/18 | 255 | 60 | 315 |  |


| Services | Description | Council Funding | Ring <br> Fenced Grant Funding | Total | Reason for Carry Forward \& Consequences of not carrying forward. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peoples Directorate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education | SEND Reform gant |  | 46 | 46 | SEND reform grant to support the service in converting 1600 special educational need statements into Education Health and Care Plans by December 2018. Therefore full grant was not spent but work remains outstanding and service would like to recommission this interim in 2018-19 to finish the work |
| Total Peoples Directorate | Total 2017/18 | 0 | 46 | 46 |  |
| Regeneration Enterprice and Planning Directorate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economic Development | GLA grant - Creative Enterprise Zone |  | 25 | 25 | Funds were released at the end of $17 / 18$, but the project is not due to commence until $18 / 19$. If the funds are not carried forward then the project will not be delivered and money will be clawed back. Reputational risk and potential abortive costs. |
| Economic Development | DWP/Job centre plus Raising Ambition |  | 35 | 35 | Funds were released at the end of 17/18, but the project is not due to commence until $18 / 19$. If the funds are not carried forward then the project will not be delivered and money will be clawed back. Reputational risk and potential abortive costs. |
|  |  |  |  |  | This grant is ring-fenced received in 16/17. £87k in total was |
| Planning Policy | (former) Department of Energy and Climate Change <br> - District heating funding |  | 48 | 48 | carried forward to $17 / 18$, of which $£ 39 \mathrm{k}$ was used in $17 / 18$ to fund eligible expenditure. If it was not spent in accordance with the grant conditions, it would be repayable. Further expenditure is planned in $18 / 19$ to complete the heat network feasibility study. |
| Total Regeneration Enterprise \& Planning Directorate | Total 2017/18 | 0 | 108 | 108 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grand Total | 255 | 214 | 469 |  |

